

ON THE NUMERICAL INDEX OF VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTION SPACES

Elmouloudi ED-DARI, Mohamed Amine KHAMSI, and Asuman Güven AKSOY

Abstract. Let X be a Banach space and μ a positive measure. We show that $n(L_p(\mu, X)) = \lim_m n(l_p^m(X))$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Also we investigate the positivity of the numerical index of l_p -spaces.

1 INTRODUCTION.

Let X be a Banach space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , we write B_X for the closed unit ball and S_X for the unit sphere of X . The dual space is denoted by X^* and the Banach algebra of all continuous linear operators on X is denoted by $B(X)$. The *numerical range* of $T \in B(X)$ is defined by

$$V(T) = \{x^*(Tx) : x \in S_X, x^* \in S_{X^*}, x^*(x) = 1\}.$$

The *numerical radius* of T is then given by

$$v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in V(T)\}.$$

Clearly, v is a semi norm on $B(X)$ and $v(T) \leq \|T\|$ for all $T \in B(X)$. The *numerical index* of X is defined by

$$n(X) = \inf\{v(T) : T \in S_{B(X)}\}.$$

The concept of numerical index was first suggested by Lumer [7] in 1968. Since then a lot of attention has been paid to this constant of equivalence between the numerical radius and the usual norm in the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators of a Banach space. Classical references here are [1], [2]. For recent results we refer the reader to [3], [5], [6], [8], [10].

In this paper we show that for any positive measure μ and Banach space X , the numerical index of $L_p(\mu, X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ is the limit of the sequence of numerical index of $l_p^m(X)$. This gives a partial answer to Martín's question [9] and generalizes the result obtained for the scalar case [5]. Also we study the positivity of the numerical index of l_p -space.

⁰Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 47A12.

Key words: Numerical index - Numerical radius.

Here $L_p(\mu, X)$ is the classical Banach space of p -integrable functions f from Ω into X where (Ω, Σ, μ) is a given measure space. And $l_p(X)$ is the Banach space of sequences $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$, $x_n \in X$, such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|^p < \infty$. And finally $l_p^m(X)$ is the Banach space of finite sequences $x = (x_n)_{1 \leq n \leq m}$, $x_n \in X$, equipped with the norm $\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \|x_n\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

2 MAIN RESULTS.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space. Then, for every real number $p, 1 \leq p < \infty$, the numerical index of the Banach space $l_p(X)$ is given by*

$$n(l_p(X)) = \lim_m n(l_p^m(X)).$$

Proof. Let $m \geq 1$ and $T : l_p^m(X) \rightarrow l_p^m(X)$ $x \mapsto (T_1(x), \dots, T_m(x))$. Define the linear operator $\tilde{T} : l_p(X) \rightarrow l_p(X)$ as follows for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots) \in l_p(X)$, $\tilde{T}(x) = (T_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, T_m(x_1, \dots, x_m), 0, \dots)$. Clearly, \tilde{T} is bounded and $\|T\| = \|\tilde{T}\|$. We have also $v(T) = v(\tilde{T})$. To prove this, let us first note that if $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots) \in S_{l_p(X)}$, then there exists an element, namely x_x^* , in $S_{l_q(X^*)}$, where q is the conjugate exponent to p , such that $x_x^*(x) = 1$. Explicitly $x_x^* = (\|x_1\|^{p-1}x_1^*, \dots, \|x_m\|^{p-1}x_m^*, \dots)$ where the x_k^* 's are taken in S_{X^*} such that $x_k^*(x_k) = \|x_k\|$. Now, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Following the expression $v(\tilde{T}) = \sup\{|x_x^*(\tilde{T}x)| : x \in S_{l_p(X)}\}$ ([4], Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 1.1) there exists $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots) \in S_{l_p(X)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v(\tilde{T}) - \varepsilon &< |x_x^*(\tilde{T}x)| \\ &= |(\|x_1\|^{p-1}x_1^*, \dots, \|x_m\|^{p-1}x_m^*)(T(x_1, \dots, x_m))|. \end{aligned}$$

Put $r := \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|x_k\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq 1$. Then we obtain $v(\tilde{T}) - \varepsilon < r^p v(T)$ which yields $v(\tilde{T}) \leq v(T)$.

The reverse inequality is easy. Therefore

$$\{v(T) : T \in l_p^m(X), \|T\| = 1\} \subset \{v(U) : U \in l_p(X), \|U\| = 1\}$$

which yields $n(l_p(X)) \leq n(l_p^m(X))$. Consequently $n(l_p(X)) \leq \liminf_m n(l_p^m(X))$. Now we shall prove that $\limsup_m n(l_p^m(X)) \leq n(l_p(X))$. Let $T \in B(l_p(X))$. Define the sequence of operators $\{S_m\}_m$ as follows; for each $m \geq 1$, S_m is defined on $l_p^m(X)$ by

$$S_m(x) = (T_1(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, 0, \dots), \dots, T_m(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, 0, \dots)) \quad (x \in l_p^m(X)).$$

Clearly, the S_m 's are bounded and $\|S_m\| \leq \|T\|$ for all m . We claim that

- (i) $\|S_m\| \rightarrow \|T\|$
- (ii) $v(S_m) \rightarrow v(T)$.

Indeed, we consider the sequence of operators $\{\tilde{S}_m\}_m$ defined on $l_p(X)$ by

$$\tilde{S}_m(x) = (T_1(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, 0, \dots), \dots, T_m(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, 0, \dots), 0, 0, \dots)$$

for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots) \in l_p(X)$. It is easy to see that $\|S_m\| = \|\tilde{S}_m\|$, and \tilde{S}_m converges strongly to T . This implies that $\|T\| \leq \liminf_m \|\tilde{S}_m\|$, and it follows that $\|S_m\| \rightarrow \|T\|$. As in (i) we have also $v(S_m) = v(\tilde{S}_m)$, so it is enough to prove that $v(\tilde{S}_m) \rightarrow v(T)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and fix $u \in S_X$, $u^* \in S_{X^*}$ such that $u^*(u) = 1$. There exists $x \in S_{l_p(X)}$ such that

$$|x_x^*(Tx)| > v(T) - \varepsilon. \quad (1)$$

For each $n \geq 1$, consider

$$x^n = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \lambda_n u, 0, 0, \dots); \quad x_{x^n}^* = (\|x_1\|^{p-1} x_{x_1}^*, \dots, \|x_{n-1}\|^{p-1} x_{x_{n-1}}^*, \lambda_n^{p-1} u^*, 0, 0, \dots)$$

where $\lambda_n = \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^p\right)^{1/p}$. Then

$$x_{x^n}^*(x^n) = 1 = \|x_{x^n}^*\| = \|x^n\|.$$

Moreover, $\|x - x^n\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|x_x^* - x_{x^n}^*\| \rightarrow 0$ where $x_x^* = (\|x_1\|^{p-1} x_{x_1}^*, \dots, \|x_n\|^{p-1} x_{x_n}^*, \dots)$. It follows that $x_{x^n}^*(Tx^n) \rightarrow x_x^*(Tx)$ as n tends to infinity. Let $n_0 \geq 1$ be such that

$$|x_{x^n}^*(Tx^n)| > v(T) - \varepsilon \quad (n \geq n_0). \quad (2)$$

Since \tilde{S}_m converges strongly to T , thus for fixed $n \geq n_0$, $x_{x^n}^*(\tilde{S}_m x^n)$ converges to $x_{x^n}^*(Tx^n)$ as m tends to infinity. So there is $m_0 \geq n$ such that

$$|x_{x^n}^*(\tilde{S}_m x^n)| > v(T) - \varepsilon \quad (m \geq m_0). \quad (3)$$

This yields $v(\tilde{S}_m) > v(T) - \varepsilon$ for all $m \geq m_0$ and therefore $v(\tilde{S}_m)$ converges to $v(T)$ as m tends to infinity. Now, following (i) and (ii) we have $n(l_p(X)) \geq \limsup_m n(l_p^m(X))$. Indeed, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, we find $T \in S_{B(l_p(X))}$ such that

$$n(l_p(X)) + \varepsilon > v(T).$$

Since $v(T) = \lim_m v(\tilde{S}_m)$, there exists m_0 such that

$$n(l_p(X)) + \varepsilon > v(\tilde{S}_m) \quad (m \geq m_0).$$

But $v(\tilde{S}_m) = v(S_m) \geq n(l_p^m(X))\|S_m\|$, and $\|S_m\| \rightarrow \|T\| = 1$, so there exists $k_0 \geq m_0$ such that

$$n(l_p(X)) + \varepsilon > n(l_p^m(X))(1 - \varepsilon) \quad (m \geq k_0).$$

This implies $n(l_p(X)) \geq \limsup_m n(l_p^m(X))$ and completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

It is well known that $n(\oplus_{\lambda} X_{\lambda})_{l_{\infty}} = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} n(X_{\lambda})$ [9]. This shows that, in particular, $n(l_{\infty}(X)) = n(X) (= \lim_m n(l_{\infty}^m(X)))$. So, Theorem 2.1 is also valid for $p = \infty$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. Then, for every Banach space X and every real number p , $1 \leq p < \infty$,*

$$n(L_p(\mu, X)) = n(l_p(X)).$$

Proof. Let us first prove that $n(L_p(\mu, X)) \leq n(l_p(X))$. For this we adapt the proof due to Javier and Martin for the scalar case (not published result). Indeed, if μ is not atomic, $L_p(\mu, X)$ is isometric to $L_p(\mu, X) \oplus_p L_p(\mu, X)$, so they have the same numerical index. Let $T = (T_1, T_2) \in B(l_p^2(X))$ and define the operator S on $L_p(\mu, X) \oplus_p L_p(\mu, X)$ by $S(f_1, f_2)(\omega) = T(f_1(\omega), f_2(\omega))$. One can check easily that $\|T\| = \|S\|$. Moreover, $v(T) = v(S)$. Indeed, let $f_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \frac{1_{A_i}}{\mu(A_i)^{1/p}}$, $f_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{1_{B_i}}{\mu(B_i)^{1/p}}$ be simple functions in $L_p(\mu, X)$ with $\|(f_1, f_2)\|^p = \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\|^p + \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|^p = 1$. For each i we can find x_i^* and y_i^* in S_{X^*} such that $x_i^*(x_i) = \|x_i\|$ and $y_i^*(y_i) = \|y_i\|$. If we set $g_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\|^{p-1} x_i^* \frac{1_{A_i}}{\mu(A_i)^{1/q}}$ and $g_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|^{p-1} y_i^* \frac{1_{B_i}}{\mu(B_i)^{1/q}}$, we have clearly $(g_1, g_2) \in S_{L_q(\mu, X^*) \oplus_q L_q(\mu, X^*)}$ and $\langle (g_1, g_2), (f_1, f_2) \rangle = 1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |(g_1, g_2)(S(f_1, f_2))| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |(g_1(\omega), g_2(\omega))(T(f_1(\omega), f_2(\omega)))| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq v(T) \int_{\Omega} (\|f_1(\omega)\|^p + \|f_2(\omega)\|^p) d\mu(\omega) = v(T). \end{aligned}$$

Following [4], we have $v(S) \leq v(T)$. For the reverse inequality, let $(x_1, x_2) \in S_{l_p^2(X)}$. Take $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ and consider $(f_1, f_2) = \left(x_1 \frac{1_A}{\mu(A)^{1/p}}, x_2 \frac{1_A}{\mu(A)^{1/p}}\right)$. From what we have just seen $(g_1, g_2) = \left(\|x_1\|^{p-1} x_1^* \frac{1_A}{\mu(A)^{1/q}}, \|x_2\|^{p-1} x_2^* \frac{1_A}{\mu(A)^{1/q}}\right) \in S_{L_q(\mu, X^*) \oplus_q L_q(\mu, X^*)}$ and $\langle (g_1, g_2), (f_1, f_2) \rangle = 1$. Moreover,

$$|(\|x_1\|^{p-1} x_1^*, \|x_2\|^{p-1} x_2^*)(T(x_1, x_2))| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (g_1(\omega), g_2(\omega)) S(f_1, f_2)(\omega) d\mu(\omega) \right| \leq v(S).$$

This yields $v(T) \leq v(S)$. Consequently $\{v(T) : T \in S_{l_p^2(X)}\} \subset \{v(S) : S \in S_{L_p(\mu, X) \oplus_p L_p(\mu, X)}\}$ which yields $n(L_p(\mu, X) \oplus_p L_p(\mu, X)) \leq n(l_p^2(X))$. So

$$n(L_p(\mu, X)) \leq n(l_p^2(X)).$$

Now, for any integer $m \geq 1$, with the same work as above, we obtain

$$n(L_p(\mu, X)) \leq n(l_p^m(X)).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$n(L_p(\mu, X)) \leq n(l_p(X)).$$

If μ is atomic then $L_p(\mu, X)$ is isometric to $L_p(\nu, X) \oplus_p [\oplus_{i \in I} X]_{l_p}$ for a suitable set I and an atomless measure ν . With the help of Remark 2 [9], we also have $n(L_p(\mu, X)) \leq n(l_p(X))$. The reverse inequality $n(L_p(\mu, X)) \geq n(l_p(X))$ follows with the same technique used in [5] for the scalar case. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. Then, for every Banach space X and every real number $p, 1 \leq p < \infty$*

$$n(L_p(\mu, X)) = \lim_m n(l_p^m(X)).$$

3 ON THE POSITIVITY OF THE NUMERICAL INDEX OF l_p -SPACE

It was proved that the numerical index of l_p^m , $p \neq 2$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$ cannot be equal to 0 this is equivalent to that the numerical radius and the operator norm are equivalent on $B(l_p^m)$, $p \neq 2$ (see Theorem 2.3 [6]). In this section we shall also prove that both norms are equivalent on $B(l_p, l_p^m)$.

Theorem 3.1. *For every real number $p \geq 1, p \neq 2$ and every integer m , the numerical radius is equivalent to the operator norm on $B(l_p, l_p^m)$. Here l_p is real and l_p^m is identified with its natural embedding in l_p .*

Proof. Let $T = (t_{ik}) \in B(l_p, l_p^m)$. We first have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &\leq \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t_{1k}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \dots, \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t_{mk}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_p \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t_{1k}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \dots + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t_{mk}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\{T^j\} \in B(l_p, l_p^m)$ defined by $T^j e_k = T e_k$ for $k \neq j$ and $T^j(e_j) = 0$. Then for $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k \in S_{l_p}$ we have

$$x_x^*(T^1 x) = \varepsilon_1 |x_1|^{p-1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{2k} x_k + \dots + \varepsilon_m |x_m|^{p-1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{mk} x_k \quad (\varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}).$$

Take $x_1 = \varepsilon_1 2^{-1/p}$ with $\varepsilon_1 \in \{-1, 1\}$ we obtain

$$\left| x_x^*(T^1 x) \right| = \left| 2^{-1/q} \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{1k} x_k \right) + \varepsilon_1 \left\{ \varepsilon_2 |x_2|^{p-1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{2k} x_k + \dots + \varepsilon_m |x_m|^{p-1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{mk} x_k \right\} \right| \leq v(T^1)$$

Since ε_1 is arbitrary in $\{-1, 1\}$ then

$$2^{-1/q} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{1k} x_k \right| + \left| \varepsilon_2 |x_2|^{p-1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{2k} x_k + \dots + \varepsilon_m |x_m|^{p-1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{mk} x_k \right| \leq v(T^1).$$

And in particular

$$2^{-1/q} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{1k} x_k \right| \leq v(T^1)$$

for all $(x_2, \dots, x_m, \dots) \in l_p$ such that $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |x_k|^p = \frac{1}{2}$. That is

$$\frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_{1k} y_k \right| \leq v(T^1) \quad \forall (y_2, \dots, y_m, \dots) \in S_{l_p}$$

which yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k \neq 1} |t_{1k}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq v(T^1).$$

The same work as above shows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k \neq j} |t_{jk}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq v(T^j) \quad (*)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Now let $R^j = T - T^j$ then we have

$$v(T^j) \leq v(T) + \|R^j\|.$$

And following (*) we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t_{jk}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq 2(v(T) + \|R^j\|) + |t_{jj}|$$

which yields

$$\|T\| \leq 2mv(T) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \|R^j\| + \sum_{j=1}^m |t_{jj}|.$$

Now let $\{T_n\}$ be a v -cauchy sequence in $B(l_p, l_p^m)$. Since $v(T_n P_m) = v(P_m T_n P_m) \leq v(T_n)$ where P_m is the operator projection on l_p^m (see [5] p 4), and using the fact that in finite dimensional space l_p^m both norms are equivalent, then each $R_n^j = T_n - T_n^j$ converges in operator norm to some R^j . Therefore $\{T_n\}$ is $\|\cdot\|$ -cauchy. This completes the proof of the Theorem 3.1. \square

It's still unknown if the numerical radius and the operator norm are equivalent on the Banach space $B(l_p)$, $p \neq 2$ which gives a complete answer to the question of C. Finet and D. Li.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of elements of Normed Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 2, (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1971).
- [2] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical ranges II*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 10 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973).
- [3] C. Finet, M. Martín and R. Payá, *Numerical index and renorming*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), no. 3, 871-877.
- [4] J. Duncan, C. M. McGregor, J. D. Pryce and A. J. White, *The numerical index of a normed space*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 2 (1970), 481-488.
- [5] E. Ed-dari, *On the numerical index of Banach spaces*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 403 (2005), 86-96.
- [6] E. Ed-dari, M.A. Khamsi, *The numerical index of the L_p -space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006) p 2019–2025.
- [7] G. Lumer, *Semi-inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1961), 29-43.
- [8] G. López, M. Martín and R. Payá, *Real Banach spaces with numerical index 1*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31 (1999) 207-212.
- [9] M. Martín and R. Payá, *Numerical index of vector-valued function spaces*, Studia Mathematica 142 (3) (2000) 269-280.
- [10] M. Martín, *A survey on the numerical index of Banach space*, Extracta Math 15 (2000), 265-276.

E. ED-DARI
 Université d'Artois
 Faculté des Sciences Jean Perrin
 SP 18
 62307-Lens Cedex
 FRANCE
 Elmouloudi.Eddari@euler.univ-artois.fr

M. A. KHAMSI
 University of Texas at El Paso
 Dept. of Mathematical Sciences
 500 West University
 Ave. El Paso, Texas 79968-0514
 USA
 mohamed@math.utep.edu

A. G. AKSOY
 Department of Mathematics
 Claremont McKenna College
 Claremont, CA 91711
 asuman.aksoy@claremontmckenna.edu